# Finite axiomatization of quasivarieties of relational structures

Michał Stronkowski

Charles University in Prague & Warsaw University of Technology

JardaFest, June 2010

## Proof

		16	_
Elements of $M_k$		Elements of $\mathbb{Z}_2^{n+6}$	
$a_0$		$1100  000 \cdots 000 \cdots 000$	0
$a_1$		$0011  000 \cdots 000 \cdots 000$	0
$a'_0$	$\mapsto$	$1010  000 \cdots 000 \cdots 000$	0
$a_1^{\prime}$		$0101  000 \cdots 000 \cdots 000$	0
b	$\mapsto$	1111 000 · · · 000 · · · 000	0
c <sub>0</sub>		0000 100 · · · 000 · · · 000	0
$c_1$		$0000  010 \cdots 000 \cdots 000$	0
$c_{k-1}$	$\mapsto$	$0000  000 \cdots 100 \cdots 000$	0
$c_{k+1}$		$0000  000 \cdots 001 \cdots 000$	0
$c_n$		$0000  000\cdots000\cdots001$	0
$d_0$		0011 100 · · · 000 · · · 000	0
$d_1$		$0011  110 \cdots 000 \cdots 000$	0
$d_{k-1}$		$0011  111 \cdots 100 \cdots 000$	0
$d_k$	$\mapsto$	$0011  111 \cdots 110 \cdots 000$	1
$d_{k+1}$		$0011  111 \cdots 111 \cdots 000$	1
$d_n$		$0011  111\cdots 111\cdots 111$	1
$d'_0$	$\mapsto$	0101 100 · · · 000 · · · 000	0
$d_1^0$		$0101  110 \cdots 000 \cdots 000$	0
$d'_{k-1}$		$0101  111 \cdots 100 \cdots 000$	0
$d'_{k-1} \atop d_k$		$0101  111 \cdots 110 \cdots 000$	0
$d'_{k+1}$		$0101  111 \cdots 111 \cdots 000$	0
v+1			
$d'_n$		$0101  111\cdots 111\cdots 111$	0
e	$\mapsto$	1111 111 · · · 111 · · · 111	1

Table. The mapping  $j_k$ . Elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_2^{n+6}$  are represented as words over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . For the sake of clarity we divided these words into 3 segments of length 4, n+1 and 1 respectively. In the second segment (k-1)th, kth and (k+1)th digits are placed between dots.

# Quasivarieties

Quasi-identities look like

$$(\forall \bar{x}) [\varphi_1(\bar{x}) \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi_n(\bar{x}) \rightarrow \varphi(\bar{x})],$$

where  $\varphi_i(\bar{x})$ ,  $\varphi(\bar{x})$  are atomic formulas.

Quasivarieties look like

Mod(quasi-identities).

The smallest quasivariety containing a class  $\mathcal{K}$  (generated by) equals

$$\mathsf{Q}(\mathcal{K}) = \mathsf{SPP}_\mathsf{U}(\mathcal{K})$$

Quasivariety  $\mathcal Q$  is finitely axiomatizable (finitely based) if  $\mathcal Q = \mathsf{Mod}(\Sigma)$  for some finite set  $\Sigma$  of quasi-identities.

# Forbidden substructures

## Observation ↓

Assume that  $\mathcal K$  is a class of relational structures axiomatized by a finite set  $\Phi$  of universal sentences. Let n be the maximal number of variables occurring in sentences from  $\Phi$ . Then for each relational structure  $\mathbf M$  we have

$$\mathbf{M} \in \mathcal{K} \quad \text{iff} \quad (\forall \mathbf{N} \leq \mathbf{M}) [|N| \leq n \rightarrow \mathbf{N} \in \mathcal{K}].$$
 ( $A_n$ )

# Observation ↑

Conversely, if the language of K is finite and there exists a finite n such that  $(A_n)$  holds for all M, then K is finitely axiomatizable.

# Meet of observations 1

An universal class (quasivariety)  $\mathcal{K}$  of relational structures in a finite language is finitely axiomatizable if and only if it admits a finite set of finite forbidden substructures.

# Graphs

A graph is a relational structure with one binary symmetric and irreflexive relation.

# Theorem (Nešetřil, Pultr '78)

Let  $\mathcal K$  be a quasivariety generated by a finite number of finite graphs. Then  $\mathcal K$  is finitely axiomatizable only in the following cases:

$$\mathcal{K} = \left\{ \bigcirc \right\};$$

$$\mathcal{K} = \left\{ \bigcirc, \bullet \right\};$$

- $ightharpoonup \mathcal{K} = \mathsf{discrete} \; \mathsf{graphs} \; \cup \; \left\{ \bigcap_{\bullet} \right\};$
- $ightharpoonup \mathcal{K} = \{ \text{disjoint unions of} \bullet ---- \bullet \text{ and } \bullet \} \cup \{ \bigcirc \};$
- $ightharpoonup \mathcal{K} = \{ ext{disjoint unions of complete bipartite graphs} \} \cup \ \left\{ igcap_{ullet} \right\}.$



## **Antivarieties**

Anitivariety is a  $H^{-1}S$ -closed elementary class or, equivalently, a class defined by anti-idetities.

 $A(\mathcal{K}) = \text{the smallest antivariety containing } \mathcal{K}.$ 

#### Fact

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is an antivariety, then  $\mathcal{A} \cup \{\mathsf{loop}\}\$ is a quasivariety. Moreover,  $\mathcal{A}$  is finitely axiomatizable iff  $\mathcal{A} \cup \{\mathsf{loop}\}\$ does.

Antivariety  $\mathcal{A}$  admits a finite duality if there is a finite family of finite structures  $O_1, \ldots, O_n$  such that

$$(\forall M) \ [M \in \mathcal{A} \quad \text{iff} \quad O_1, \ldots, O_n \not \in \mathsf{A}(M)].$$

Let 
$$CSP(\mathcal{K}) = A(\mathcal{K})_{fin}$$
.



# **CSPs**

# Therem (Atserias, Larose, Loten, Rossman, Tardif '08)

Let **M** be a finite relational structure. TFAE

- ► A(M) ∪ {loop} is finitely axiomatizable;
- A(M) is finitely axiomatizable;
- A(M) admits a finite duality;
- CSP(M) is finitely axiomatizable (relative to finite structures);
- CSP(M) admits a finite duality (relative to finite structures);
- Core(M)<sup>2</sup> dismantles to the diagonal.

# Semigroups

The graph of an algebra  $\mathbf{A}=(A,\Omega)$  is NOT a graph. It is the relational structure

$$\mathsf{G}(\mathbf{A}) = (\mathsf{A}, \{\mathsf{R}_{\omega}\}_{\omega \in \Omega}),$$

where

$$(a_0,\ldots,a_k)\in R_\omega$$
 iff  $\omega(a_0,\ldots,a_{k-1})=a_n$ .

For a class C of algebras let  $G(C) = \{G(\mathbf{A}) \mid \mathbf{A} \in C\}$ .

Theorem (Gornostaev, Stronkowski '09)

Let  $\mathcal C$  be a class of semigroups possessing a nontrivial member with a neutral element. Then  $\mathsf{QG}(\mathcal C)$  is not finitely axiomatizable.

# Corollary

Let  $\mathcal C$  be a class of monoids or groups possessing a nontrivial member. Then  $\mathsf{QG}(\mathcal C)$  is not finitely axiomatizable.



# Proof

#### Recall

# Observation ↓

Let  $\mathcal K$  be a finitely axiomatizable quasivariety of relational structures. Then there is a finite n such that for each relational structure  $\mathbf M$  we have

$$M \in \mathcal{K}$$
 iff  $(\forall N \leq M)[|N| \leq n \rightarrow N \in \mathcal{K}].$ 

Thus it is enough to construct for each n a model M such that

- M ∉ QG(Semigroups),
- ▶ if  $\mathbf{N} \leq \mathbf{M}$  and  $|N| \leq n$ , then  $\mathbf{N} \in QG(\mathcal{C})$ .

# Proof

We can do it easily with the aid of the quasi-identity

$$(\forall \bar{x}, \bar{x}', y, \bar{z}, \bar{u}, \bar{u}', v) \left[ R(x_0, x_1, y) \land R(x'_0, x'_1, y) \right. \\ \land R(x_1, z_0, u_0) \land R(u_0, z_1, u_1) \land \cdots \land R(u_{n-1}, z_n, u_n) \land R(x_0, u_n, v) \\ \land R(x'_1, z_0, u'_0) \land R(u'_0, z_1, u'_1) \land \cdots \land R(u'_{n-1}, z_n, u'_n) \rightarrow R(x'_0, u'_n, v) \right]$$

i.e.  $\mathbf{M}$  is given by

Elements of $M_k$		Elements of $\mathbb{Z}_2^{n+6}$
$a_0$		$1100  000 \cdots 000 \cdots 000  0$
$a_1$		$0011  000 \cdots 000 \cdots 000  0$
$a'_0$	$\mapsto$	$1010  000 \cdots 000 \cdots 000  0$
$a_1^{\prime}$		$0101  000 \cdots 000 \cdots 000  0$
b	$\mapsto$	1111 000 · · · 000 · · · 000 0
c <sub>0</sub>		0000 100 · · · 000 · · · 000 0
$c_1$		$0000  010 \cdots 000 \cdots 000  0$
$c_{k-1}$	$\mapsto$	$0000  000 \cdots 100 \cdots 000  0$
$c_{k+1}$		$0000  000 \cdots 001 \cdots 000  0$
$c_n$		$0000  000 \cdots 000 \cdots 001  0$
$d_0$		0011 100 · · · 000 · · · 000 0
$d_1$		$0011  110 \cdots 000 \cdots 000  0$
$d_{k-1}$		$0011  111 \cdots 100 \cdots 000  0$
$d_k$	$\mapsto$	$0011  111 \cdots 110 \cdots 000  1$
$d_{k+1}$		$0011  111 \cdots 111 \cdots 000  1$
$d_n$		$0011  111\cdots 111\cdots 111  1$
$d'_0$		0101 100 · · · 000 · · · 000 0
$d_1'$		$0101  110 \cdots 000 \cdots 000  0$
$d'_{L}$ ,		$0101  111 \cdots 100 \cdots 000  0$
$d'_{k-1} \atop d_k$	$\mapsto$	$0101  111 \cdots 110 \cdots 000  0$
$d'_{k+1}$		$0101  111 \cdots 111 \cdots 000  0$
K+1		
$d'_n$		$0101  111\cdots 111\cdots 111  0$
e	$\mapsto$	1111 111 · · · 111 · · · 111 1

Table. The mapping  $j_k$ . Elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_2^{n+6}$  are represented as words over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . For the sake of clarity we divided these words into 3 segments of length 4, n+1 and 1 respectively. In the second segment (k-1)th, kth and (k+1)th digits are placed between dots.